

OauWsinS

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
3 -----x

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 v.

22 Cr. 673 (LAK)

6 NISHAD SINGH,

7 Defendant.

Sentence

8 -----x  
9 New York, N.Y.  
October 30, 2024  
3:00 p.m.

10 Before:

11 HON. LEWIS A. KAPLAN,

12 District Judge

13 APPEARANCES

14 DAMIAN WILLIAMS

15 United States Attorney for the  
Southern District of New York

16 BY: NICOLAS T. ROOS  
NATHAN M. REHN II  
DANIELLE R. SASSOON  
DANIELLE M. KUDLA  
Assistant United States Attorneys

17 COOLEY LLP

18 Attorneys for Defendant

19 BY: ANDREW D. GOLDSTEIN  
RUSSELL CAPONE  
ANUPAM DHILLON  
JORGE SARMIENTO

20  
21  
22  
23 Also Present: Special Agent Kristin Allain, FBI

OauWsinS

1 (Case called)

2 MR. ROOS: Your Honor, good afternoon. Nicolas Roos,  
3 Thane Rehn, Danielle Sassoon, Danielle Kudla, from the United  
4 States Attorney's Office, for the government. We're joined at  
5 counsel's table by Special Agent Kristin Allain on behalf of  
6 the FBI. Some of Allain's colleagues are also seated in the  
7 back.

8 THE COURT: Good afternoon, everybody.

9 MR. GOLDSTEIN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Andrew  
10 Goldstein for the defendant, Nishad Singh, and I'm here with  
11 Russell Capone, Anu Dhillon and Jorge Sarmiento, all from  
12 Cooley LLP.

13 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

14 Mr. Goldstein, have you and your client had the  
15 presentence report for the necessary period?

16 MR. GOLDSTEIN: We have, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Singh, have you read the presentence  
18 report?

19 THE DEFENDANT: I have, your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Have you read all of it, including the  
21 proposed terms of any supervised release that I might impose?

22 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

23 THE COURT: OK. You may be seated. Thank you.

24 Are there any unresolved objections to the presentence  
25 report that are material to this proceeding?

OauWsinS

1           Mr. Roos.

2           MR. ROOS: I don't believe so, your Honor. There was  
3 one issue I was discussing with Mr. Goldstein, but I think the  
4 government believes the guidelines, both the factual  
5 allegations and the guidelines in the PSR are correct.

6           THE COURT: OK.

7           Mr. Goldstein.

8           MR. GOLDSTEIN: We don't think there are any issues  
9 that are material to sentencing, your Honor.

10          THE COURT: OK. Thank you.

11          Then I adopt the presentence report and the guideline  
12 computation and range it contains.

13          I have had the benefit of a mass of material in  
14 connection with this sentencing.

15          There's the presentence report;

16          I've reviewed the amended and now partially signed  
17 consent preliminary order of forfeiture;

18          I have a letter from the Cooley firm, attaching a  
19 letter from Mr. Ray of FTX;

20          I have a letter from McCarter & English on behalf of a  
21 client of that firm, which I believe counsel have both seen;

22          I have the government's letter of October 23, which is  
23 probably the longest 5K letter I ever saw; and

24          A submission on behalf of the defendant that fills a  
25 looseleaf binder.

OauWsinS

1           Is there anything else that I should have been aware  
2 of that I haven't mentioned?

3           MR. ROOS: No, your Honor.

4           MR. GOLDSTEIN: That's everything, your Honor.

5           THE COURT: OK. Thank you.

6           I will, therefore, hear from Mr. Goldstein.

7           MR. GOLDSTEIN: Thank you, Judge Kaplan.

8           It has been an honor for me and for my colleagues who  
9 are here today to be able to represent Nishad Singh over these  
10 past two years. He is a remarkable individual, as your Honor  
11 saw firsthand in his testimony, and as reflected in the many  
12 letters submitted on his behalf.

13           Nishad is joined in court here today by several  
14 members of his family and his extended family -- his brother  
15 Malhar, his parents Gururaj and Anu, his fianceé Claire and  
16 members of her family as well as uncles, aunts and college  
17 friends and many people he calls cousin even if not related by  
18 blood. They all had to travel here, many from across the  
19 country, and they're here because they know the kind of person  
20 Nishad is. They understand what he did and why we're here, and  
21 they love him unconditionally. And they want to make sure that  
22 the Court sees the incredible support network that Nishad has,  
23 which is a testament to who he is.

24           Your Honor, what I want to spend most of my time today  
25 talking about is what we think is a critical element that

OauWsinS

underlies the Court's consideration of the Section 3553(a) factors in this case. It's an element that goes beyond Nishad's exemplary cooperation and assistance to the government, which we'll talk about as important, but is not, we think, the main issue here. And it goes beyond his personal characteristics, which truly are extraordinary and which we'll also address. But the element that we think the Court should focus most on is Nishad's role in the underlying offenses. That is what separates him most significantly from the other cooperators in this case and especially from Caroline Ellison, whom the Court sentenced to a period of incarceration.

There's no question that Nishad pled guilty to serious offenses, and he does not minimize that conduct in any way. Indeed, as the government spelled out in its own submission, it was Nishad who brought to the government's attention most of the conduct that was the subject of his plea. But it is critical for the Court to view what he did, his conduct, with precision in the context of all that happened here, and that's why we laid it out in some detail in the lengthy sentencing submission that you referenced.

The bottom line is that the Court rightly referred to this case as one of the greatest financial frauds ever perpetrated in this country; it was. But as we and the government make clear in the submissions to your Honor, the overwhelming majority of the conduct that made it such a

OauWsinS

1 monumental crime took place before Nishad ever became involved.  
2 At the heart of this case is a series of decisions to steal  
3 billions of dollars in FTX customer money and use that money to  
4 shore up Alameda and to pay Alameda's debts. Those decisions  
5 were made by Sam Bankman-Fried with the necessary complicity  
6 and assistance of Caroline Ellison, and they did it over a  
7 period of years. And all of that happened before Nishad became  
8 involved, before he knew what was going on.

9                  From his first days as an entry-level engineer at  
10 Alameda in late 2017 all the way until September of 2022, just  
11 two months before FTX collapsed, Nishad did not know about the  
12 theft of customer funds, and he did not participate in it. It  
13 was during that time period, the months and years before he  
14 knew what was going on, that nearly all of the billions of  
15 dollars that are at the heart of this case were stolen.

16                  As the trial testimony showed and the evidence showed,  
17 it was Bankman-Fried, with Ellison's assent, who made the  
18 decision in 2021 to use a billion dollars in customer funds to  
19 buy back finance stock. Nishad had nothing to do with that.  
20 He had nothing to do with the decisions to spend hundreds of  
21 millions of dollars on real estate, on stock in Robin Hood, on  
22 investing in K5, a company called Genesis Digital Assets.  
23 Nishad was in the dark, was not in the inner circle, when all  
24 of that happened. He did not know that any of those purchases  
25 were being made with customer money. And as the government

OauWsinS

made clear in its submission, Nishad also had nothing to do with the most critical set of decisions in this case, the decisions by Bankman-Fried and Ellison in June of 2022, in the wake of the crypto crash, to pay back Alameda's lenders with billions of dollars in customer funds. That was their crime. That was not Nishad's crime.

In fact, when you look at what Nishad did during that whole period before September of 2022, he was one of the only voices in the company pushing Bankman-Fried to restrain from spending, and he didn't do that because he knew or appreciated that they were stealing customers' money. He didn't know. The reason he pushed back is because, in his view, all of that spending violated the purpose of the whole enterprise. To Nishad, the main reason they were there, the main reason they were trying to make all this money, was to be able to donate it in a way that would ultimately make a positive difference in the world.

Look, I get that that sounds corny, and it could be hard to believe, and it is obvious that there were many people who professed an interest in effective altruism who were using it as a cover and that didn't really believe in it. But to Nishad, as the letters to the Court demonstrate, it was the real thing. His belief and commitment to doing good with the money that he made, that's what drove him to work around the clock at FTX. That's why he was there. And it wasn't his role

OauWsinS

1       in any way to evaluate or even to weigh in on spending  
2 decisions. Sam Bankman-Fried made sure to remind him of that  
3 when Nishad sometimes challenged him.

4           His job was to manage the engineers. He wasn't the  
5 CEO. He wasn't the COO or the CTO, like Gary Wang. He was  
6 subordinate. He was subordinate to Sam. He was subordinate to  
7 Gary. And both of those individuals made that clear to Nishad.  
8 And that made it all the more exceptional, for someone in  
9 Nishad's position, when he saw spending that he thought was  
10 wrong, to be the one person at the company to say something to  
11 Sam Bankman-Fried, even in the face of humiliation in front of  
12 his colleagues. And that brings us to why we are here, to  
13 September of 2022, when Nishad learned for the first time what  
14 Bankman-Fried, Caroline Ellison and Gary Wang had known for  
15 years -- that Alameda had been using billions of dollars in FTX  
16 customer funds. Nishad will forever regret the role that he  
17 played between then and when he left the Bahamas shortly before  
18 the bankruptcy two months later.

19           That said, he did many things during that time period  
20 that are to his credit. He fought against additional spending  
21 by Bankman-Fried. He successfully reversed upwards of \$200  
22 million in spending during those final two months, which was  
23 exponentially more than the expenditures that he ultimately  
24 signed off on and are the basis for his plea. He took several  
25 additional concrete steps to protect customer funds, and as

OauWsinS

1 your Honor heard in his testimony, when customers started to  
2 withdraw money from the exchange in early November, Nishad  
3 refused to go along with the lies that Sam Bankman-Fried told  
4 to the public. But, and the reason we are here is, because  
5 despite learning of the fraud, Nishad signed off on company  
6 expenditures that he knew that necessarily had to be coming  
7 from customer funds at that point. And most regrettably, he  
8 completed the close on a house that his friends had lined up  
9 for him to purchase.

10 To be clear, Nishad kept nearly all of his assets on  
11 the exchange, and they are now or soon will be in the custody  
12 of the debtors or the government and will be used to make  
13 customers whole. But it was a deep mistake for Nishad not to  
14 back out of the home purchase, and he's going to forever be  
15 ashamed of that decision. And during that same time period,  
16 Nishad also allowed himself to be used as a straw for a  
17 substantial amount of campaign donations.

18 Both we and the government have addressed his role in  
19 the campaign finance offense at length in our submissions, and  
20 I don't want to go into great deal here. Nishad's conduct for  
21 that element of this case was wrong, full stop, and he has  
22 accepted responsibility for it. But again, for this set of  
23 conduct, he could not be more differently situated than the  
24 others who were involved, than Sam Bankman-Fried or from Ryan  
25 Salame, both in the level of his involvement and in his motive

OauWsinS

1 for allowing himself to be used in the way that he was.

2 Bankman-Fried, as you know, used the donation scheme  
3 to amass power and influence for himself. Ryan Salame,  
4 likewise, was motivated by self-aggrandizement, touting himself  
5 as a Republican mega donor and traveling the country to meet  
6 with politicians. Nishad, on the other hand, gained nothing  
7 from engaging in this offense. He participated because Sam  
8 Bankman-Fried and others whom Nishad placed too much trust in  
9 asked him to play the role that he did. Nishad actively  
10 disavowed any publicity or benefit from his donations, and for  
11 some time the donations indeed came from his own money and were  
12 from noble purposes. But his error in judgment came when he  
13 did not push back in 2022, when he learned that his account was  
14 being funded by Alameda and when he saw that the donations that  
15 were lined up by others were becoming less in line with his own  
16 values. And that was wrong. But it is wrong on an entirely  
17 different level when contrasted with the actions and the  
18 cynicism and the self-aggrandizement of Bankman-Fried and  
19 Salame.

20 And of course, as the government makes clear in its  
21 submission, Nishad himself was the one who brought all of this  
22 conduct to the government. The government likely would never  
23 have been able to uncover it and to bring Salame to justice, to  
24 rely on it during Sam Bankman-Fried's sentencing without the  
25 evidence that Nishad brought forward right at the beginning of

OauWsinS

1 his cooperation.

2 As the Court knows, Nishad has taken full  
3 responsibility for all of the actions that give rise to why  
4 we're here today, and he has devoted his energies over the past  
5 two years to try to make things right. And he will continue to  
6 do so. That is his absolute commitment. But we ask the Court,  
7 in imposing sentence, to be clear-eyed about his conduct.

8 Nishad's role, across the board, was dramatically more  
9 limited than that of his coconspirators. His conduct was not  
10 in the same ballpark as the others, and his sentence should  
11 reflect as much, even before the Court takes into consideration  
12 his exemplary cooperation and his personal characteristics.

13 Let me turn to the Section 3553(a) factors, starting  
14 with the history and the characteristics of the defendant. And  
15 this factor, too, is unusual here and is a powerful reason for  
16 leniency in this case.

17 Nishad recently turned 29 years old, and he has packed  
18 into that brief number of years a life of generosity toward  
19 others, unselfishness, good works and charity. As all these  
20 letters came in on his behalf for us to present to your Honor  
21 as part of the sentencing, letter after letter attesting to  
22 Nishad's good character, his moral compass, his compassion for  
23 others, he asked us if it was too much; that we should tell  
24 people not to write.

25 The reason that courts take into consideration the

OauWsinS

1 history and characteristics of a defendant is because the  
2 imposition of the sentence reflects not only the conduct but  
3 the person. And a person who has lived a meaningful life, in  
4 service of others, should be sentenced in a way that reflects  
5 that character. And that is Nishad.

6 As counsel, we saw it for the first time when we met  
7 with him, at the very beginning of this case, in the wake of  
8 the FTX collapse. While he was trying to hold it together  
9 mentally, under the most difficult of circumstances, all Nishad  
10 wanted was to find ways to help, to be of service, to help  
11 recover assets for victims, to help figure out who was  
12 responsible for a massive hack of FTX that happened in its  
13 closing days, to help the government uncover and understand  
14 everything that had happened here.

15 As your Honor knows, most cooperators come to the  
16 government out of self-interest, calculating that that's the  
17 best way to receive a lesser sentence. But that is not why  
18 Nishad started to cooperate. He started to cooperate the  
19 moment he was able to after leaving the Bahamas, and he came to  
20 the government because he thought that it was the right thing  
21 to do. And the letters that the Court has seen show how Nishad  
22 invariably thinks of others before himself.

23 He is, as we said in our submission, an uncommonly  
24 selfless individual. He made a commitment at a very early age  
25 to use his talents and his energy in ways that would help

OauWsinS

1 others and contribute positively to society, even at times  
2 where it came at great personal sacrifice. Your Honor has seen  
3 the detailed accounts in the letters of Nishad's good works:  
4 How he reached out to a socially isolated girl with  
5 developmental disability, woke up day after day at 5 a.m. to  
6 run with her and to be her friend; how he took a young unhoused  
7 individual with severe medical issues to the hospital and  
8 stayed by his side until he received care; how he quietly  
9 donated what he earned from a college internship in order to  
10 purchase bed nets to help with malaria in Africa, and did that  
11 without telling other people.

12 Your Honor saw how he was beloved by his colleagues at  
13 FTX precisely because he put their needs above his own.  
14 Eighteen former FTX and Alameda employees wrote letters for  
15 Nishad, even though they all knew that he played a role in  
16 deceiving them. And they wrote because they want to be sure  
17 the Court knows what an exceptional person Nishad is.

18 One of the letters that your Honor received noted that  
19 you can judge someone's character by how he treats those who  
20 can do nothing for him. And by that measure, Nishad's  
21 character, his soul really is unimpeachable. It had its  
22 moments of weakness, like anyone else, but it is fully intact.

23 To give you one example, Christian Drappi, who  
24 testified at trial, reached out to me and to my colleague,  
25 Russell Capone, with a letter in support of Nishad that we

OauWsinS

1 didn't ask for -- it just showed up in our inboxes -- and it  
2 described the young man who we've come to know in these last  
3 two years. Mr. Drappi wrote:

4           Unfortunately, it was all too common for higher  
5 ranking FTX employees to treat the Bahamas staff with much less  
6 than the respect they deserved. I'm proud to say that Nishad  
7 was the opposite. That is part of why he was so beloved by  
8 everyone at the company.

9           You saw as much in the words of FTX staff who  
10 themselves wrote letters in support of Nishad, from the people  
11 who cooked food for the company, who cleaned apartments, who  
12 served as physical trainers. All of them attested to Nishad's  
13 goodness. I don't know if there's any better indicator of  
14 Nishad's character than the words of these individuals who  
15 Nishad had little to gain from but who he treated as well as he  
16 treated the most senior members of FTX's staff. We submit that  
17 the Court's sentence here should reflect this extraordinary  
18 character and this record of good works that is remarkable for  
19 someone who is only 29 years old.

20           Now let me turn to the other Section 3553(a) factors,  
21 which include the nature and circumstance of the offense, the  
22 need to promote respect for the rule of law, and deterrence.

23           We don't think specific deterrence is a factor here.  
24 There's no question that Nishad is not going to commit another  
25 crime, but I do want to directly address the nature of the

OauWsinS

1 offense, respect for the rule of law and general deterrence.  
2 And here's where the clarity about Nishad's role is so  
3 critical, because the Court is faced with a situation where the  
4 offenses overall were indeed monumental and where we do not  
5 question the importance of sending a strong deterrent message.  
6 But this is also a situation where Nishad was a subordinate  
7 employee, whose role in the offenses was far more limited than  
8 the others', where he joined the main conspiracy after nearly  
9 all of the criminal conduct had occurred, and where the other  
10 conduct subject to his plea only came to light because of  
11 Nishad's cooperation with the government.

12 For a defendant in that situation, the deterrence  
13 calculation should be different than it is for others with  
14 greater involvement and responsibility. A sentence of time  
15 served for Nishad under these circumstances, we submit, would  
16 further respect for the rule of law and the interest of  
17 justice. It would show that even when your own role in an  
18 offense is limited and your potential exposure is lower than  
19 the others', but you decide, as he did, to do the right thing,  
20 and without any hesitation to cooperate with the government,  
21 that you receive meaningful credit for doing so.

22 We submit that for Nishad, there is no "get out of  
23 jail free" message for a sentence of time served. The message  
24 the Court would send is to give an incentive to employees with  
25 lower levels of culpability who get caught up in wrongdoing, an

OauWsinS

1 incentive for them to come forward. As the Court knows, I was  
2 an assistant U.S. attorney at the Southern District for a long  
3 time, and that kind of message, to this kind of a defendant, is  
4 an essential part of the government's cooperation program, and  
5 it is an essential part to furthering the interest of the rule  
6 of law.

7 To be clear, there have been and will continue to be  
8 real penalties for Nishad here. He has forfeited and given up  
9 the right to enormous amounts of assets. He never cared for  
10 money for himself. He didn't give a split second's thought to  
11 turning everything over, but this has meant that Nishad has  
12 forfeited and given back tens of millions of dollars in assets  
13 that he actually may have had a legitimate legal claim to. He  
14 forfeited, for example, his shares in Anthropic, which he  
15 purchased well before he participated in any criminal  
16 conspiracy. He's also given back to the estate hundreds of  
17 millions of dollars in crypto holdings, the vast majority of  
18 which were purchased well before he knew about or participated  
19 in any illegal activity. He did this because it was the right  
20 thing to do, regardless of the details of his involvement and  
21 his plea. But this meant that he has and is in the process of  
22 turning over effectively all of whatever he's earned in his  
23 life. He has had to completely start over.

24 He has also suffered very significant and irreparable  
25 reputational harm. In the wake of his cooperation, Nishad has

OauWsinS

1 been publicly accused of having a far greater role in the  
2 offenses than he did. The press reported that Nishad  
3 personally walked away with \$500 million. That was false, as  
4 your Honor knows. The press also reported that Nishad wrote  
5 the so-called back door into the FTX code in order to be able  
6 to steal customer money, when the truth, as was clear during  
7 trial and as your Honor knows, is that Nishad wrote code for an  
8 entirely legitimate purpose, and it was then Bankman-Fried and  
9 Wang who later changed that code and then, without his  
10 knowledge, started using those changes to be able to steal  
11 customer funds. But as a cooperating witness for the  
12 government, Nishad had no ability to respond, no ability to  
13 make the truth known until the evidence came out at trial. And  
14 now there are hundreds of articles about this case that are  
15 still available online today that falsely describe Nishad's  
16 role and conduct.

17 On top of that, cooperating in the way that Nishad has  
18 has been a full-time job by itself. He met with the government  
19 25 times. Multiple regulators have reached out and have gotten  
20 his assistance. They've even done so in the last month. And  
21 when they reach out, Nishad drops everything. He digs into the  
22 records that he still has access to. He meets with his  
23 counsel. He tries to piece together what he remembers, and  
24 then he spends hour after hour with regulators and  
25 investigators. And he's done that again and again and again.

OauWsinS

1           He's provided meaningful assistance not just to DOJ,  
2 but to the SEC, the CFTC, three different state regulators, the  
3 bankruptcy estate and the plaintiffs in the MDL class action.  
4 And his assistance has been critical to unraveling multiple  
5 aspects of what happened with FTX, which serves the interests  
6 of law enforcement, the public, the rule of law and the ability  
7 of the estate and the government to recover assets. And again,  
8 a sentence of time served here would encourage this kind of  
9 laudable, across-the-board cooperation for somebody in Nishad's  
10 position, who might otherwise be deterred from making the  
11 decision to cooperate with the government.

12           Your Honor, everything about this case has been tragic  
13 and has been devastating for so many people. There are so many  
14 victims here, the many customers at FTX who lost so much money,  
15 the innocent employees who lost their jobs and faced the stigma  
16 of having worked at FTX. All of that is tragic. And the fact  
17 that Nishad Singh, a young man who devoted his whole life to  
18 helping others, to relieving suffering in the world since even  
19 before elementary school, the fact that he got caught up in Sam  
20 Bankman-Fried's criminal enterprise, that, too, is a tragedy.

21           What happened with FTX and the knowledge that he  
22 played a part in nearly destroyed Nishad. But the Court should  
23 look at what he's done since the moment he got on the plane and  
24 left the Bahamas. He has done everything right, at enormous  
25 personal and family sacrifice. He has rebuilt his life. He

OauWsinS

1 found important work that he is excelling at, becoming an  
2 invaluable employee. He volunteers at a daytime sanctuary for  
3 the unhoused in San Francisco and has become a true role model  
4 there. In his evening hours, he's building software for an  
5 affordable housing project, and he's looking forward to his  
6 wedding with his fiancée Claire.

7 The Court's sentence under the Section 3553(a) factors  
8 should reflect not only the conduct which we've discussed and  
9 the person but also what the person has done to move forward,  
10 to rehabilitate. And here, it's impossible to say that Nishad  
11 could have done anything better than what he has done.

12 I just want to close by sharing one thing about those  
13 first few months that we had representing Nishad during the  
14 most intense periods of his cooperation with the government.

15 Every time Nishad would meet with the government, he  
16 would fly across the country and come to our office to prepare.  
17 But he never did that alone. His father, who is in the  
18 courtroom today, would fly with Nishad every time, would come  
19 into our office every day, and would sit in the conference room  
20 directly across from us for days on end, just sitting there, so  
21 that his son knew that he was right across the way, that he was  
22 there. Nishad's father was at our office so much that he  
23 became on a first-name basis with the barista in our office's  
24 cafe, more than most of us can say. And he did this because he  
25 couldn't, and wouldn't, leave Nishad alone. And on the one or

OauWsinS

1 two occasions when his dad could not figure out a way to be  
2 there, Nishad's brother and his fiancée Claire came out and did  
3 the same thing.

4 Mr. Capone and I have been doing this for a long time,  
5 both as prosecutors and as defense lawyers, and we've never  
6 seen anything like it. They were there for Nishad to do the  
7 hard, loving work of helping him put his life back together,  
8 which they've all done together, bit by bit, day by day.

9 Nishad was on the right path long before he got caught  
10 up with Sam Bankman-Fried and FTX, and with all of this love  
11 and support, he is on the right path again. We ask the Court  
12 to allow that to continue and to recognize all of these very  
13 unique circumstances that are present here.

14 Thank you, your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Thank you.

16 Mr. Singh, you have the right to speak. Is there  
17 anything you'd like to say?

18 Whenever you're ready.

19 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, thank you for allowing me  
20 the opportunity to speak today.

21 I'm overwhelmed with remorse for the harm that I  
22 participated in and I've caused to so many innocent people.  
23 The two months before and the two months after FTX's collapse  
24 were the hardest of my life. I made some choices I'm proud of,  
25 but I made many, many others that I'm deeply, deeply ashamed

OauWsinS

1 of. I spend a lot of time thinking about what all I should  
2 have done differently in that time, but there is much less to  
3 be uncertain about in how I should have acted in all of the  
4 years prior.

5 For nearly my whole professional career, I'd looked up  
6 to and I'd supported Sam. And I did so even though I'd seen  
7 very clearly, time and again, how he could be deceptive and  
8 self-serving. Even before I learned of the fraud on customers,  
9 I had compromised on what I thought was right, excused what was  
10 so clearly wrong and participated in actions that I knew were  
11 deceptive. I alone bear responsibility for my actions and  
12 inactions.

13 So many other good people have suffered as a result of  
14 what I took part in, employees who showed me love, trust and  
15 kindness, customers who relied on us to safeguard their  
16 financial futures and the people of the Bahamas who embraced  
17 us. All of them have paid for my mistakes. They lost their  
18 money and so much more. I strayed so far from my values, and  
19 words cannot fully express how sorry I am to them.

20 I can't undo the damage I've caused and I don't expect  
21 forgiveness, but I am committed to making amends in safe,  
22 concrete and non-grandiose ways. I want to be someone who  
23 contributes positively to society, someone who those I've  
24 harmed, all the people I previously mentioned, could eventually  
25 see as having made earnest efforts to right these wrongs.

OauWsinS

1 I'm grateful to the government for giving me my first  
2 chance at this. Aiding in their investigation gave me a sense  
3 of purpose and a path to help when I needed it most. But I  
4 still have an enormous debt to society that I need to repay and  
5 a mostly full life ahead of me with which to try to do so.  
6 Over the past two years I've been reflecting on, and I've been  
7 trying to live, such a life, one that is a life of tangible and  
8 robust good. I've come to see the importance of direct actions  
9 over abstract fulfillment of ideals. Trying to be present,  
10 helpful and supportive to those in my immediate community has  
11 grounded me and has given me new direction.

12 In this time, I've been fortunate to have the support  
13 of my fiancée Claire and her wonderful family, my family and  
14 friends from all times in my life. They have stood by my side  
15 despite everything, even when I was terrified that they had  
16 every reason not to. Their unwavering support has been a  
17 source of strength, and I aspire to be worthy of their love.

18 Your Honor, I accept the consequences of my actions  
19 and the judgment that you will pass. I hope that in time my  
20 future actions will demonstrate not just to you but to all of  
21 those that I've harmed my commitment to making amends.

22 Thank you.

23 THE COURT: Thank you.

24 Mr. Roos.

25 MR. ROOS: Yes, your Honor.

OauWsinS

1                   THE COURT: I take it you have a motion.

2                   MR. ROOS: Judge, for starters, the government moves  
3 for the Court to sentence Mr. Singh in light of the factors set  
4 forth in Section 5K1.1(a) of the sentencing guidelines, 18  
5 U.S.C. 3553(e).

6                   THE COURT: And that's because.

7                   MR. ROOS: Because of the substantial assistance  
8 that's set forth in our letter and that I'll be elaborating on  
9 shortly.

10                  THE COURT: Granted.

11                  Go ahead.

12                  MR. ROOS: Thank you, your Honor.

13                  As your Honor observed, we submitted a lengthy letter  
14 setting forth Mr. Singh's role in the offense and his  
15 cooperation. I'm not going to reiterate all of the points here  
16 today, but I do want to focus in particular my remarks on the  
17 cooperation in this case.

18                  The government's case was built on the testimony of  
19 cooperating witnesses, not just at the trial but in bringing  
20 the case. The case was brought before much of the evidence --  
21 documentary -- had been amassed, and that is due to the role of  
22 Mr. Singh, and others, coming forward. And I want to focus on  
23 his conduct.

24                  Mr. Singh made the decision to come in immediately.  
25 He didn't wait to see who else was cooperating. He didn't wait

OauWsinS

1 to see what the evidence looked like. He didn't wait for a  
2 pitch from the government, threatened charges, anything like  
3 that. He came in immediately. He took responsibility for the  
4 fraud on customers and then proceeded to incriminate himself,  
5 over a series of meetings, in involvement in crimes that the  
6 government had not yet become aware of and, in fact, had no  
7 leads at that point. And he did that not just by being  
8 truthful in interviews but by giving us documentary evidence  
9 that we described in our submission that the government did not  
10 have and likely never would have.

11 Mr. Singh provided this information in a number of  
12 ways that were helpful to the government. He did it by  
13 describing detailed conversations with Mr. Bankman-Fried. For  
14 instance, as the Court heard at his trial testimony, from the  
15 beginning, Mr. Singh described a conversation he had with  
16 Bankman-Fried on the balcony of a penthouse apartment, in vivid  
17 terms, describing the back-and-forth of the conversation, which  
18 established that Bankman-Fried knew the size of the debt that  
19 FTX was in, the fact that it was not able to cover the customer  
20 deposits and that it was a problem that it was continuing  
21 spending. That was a conversation that was not otherwise  
22 documented or recorded but the government relied on heavily in  
23 its case and would not have otherwise been able to prove but  
24 for Mr. Singh volunteering it.

25 Another example is the information on the massive

OauWsinS

1 campaign finance violation scheme perpetrated belie  
2 Bankman-Fried, Ryan Salame and others. That's a whole course  
3 of conduct that was totally unknown by the government, was  
4 brought to our attention exclusively by Mr. Singh and likely  
5 would never have been discovered or would only have been  
6 discovered by the detailed tracing of financial records, which  
7 would have taken months, if not over a year, to do without the  
8 help of Mr. Singh. He provided information about a securities  
9 fraud scheme that the government was not otherwise aware of  
10 that was set forth in our submission. He provided Signal chats  
11 that were set to auto delete which would otherwise have been  
12 gone without his cooperation. And all of that happened in the  
13 very few first weeks and months after FTX's collapse -- so not  
14 in the course of preparing for trial or after he had pled  
15 guilty, but while his status was still uncertain; he came  
16 forward without any reservation and provided cooperation. And  
17 that was necessary to bring the charges and bring them quickly.

18 Now, I was struck thinking about this moment in time  
19 when I was hearing Mr. Goldstein speak and talking about the  
20 ways in which Mr. Singh was not involved in some of the  
21 conduct, and I was struck by the thought that it could have  
22 been very easy for Mr. Singh to have denied everything. He  
23 could have said I didn't know, there's no evidence I was  
24 involved in any of these things, because a lot of the ways he  
25 inculpated himself in these proffers and interviews was by

OauWsinS

1 describing conversations which were not otherwise documented or  
2 recorded.

3 He didn't have to disclose the campaign finance  
4 scheme. He didn't have to disclose his conversations with  
5 Bankman-Fried, and you could imagine a different person  
6 approaching the situation and choosing the path of denying,  
7 deflecting responsibility, minimizing their own role. And I  
8 think that the cooperation here was so important and what  
9 Mr. Singh should get credit for is the way he approached this  
10 from the outset, in terms of immediately accepting  
11 responsibility for his role in defrauding customers. And it's  
12 important generally, I think, to emphasize that cooperating  
13 witnesses need to come forward and be candid from the outset.  
14 And generally, as Mr. Goldstein alluded to, that's important  
15 for incentivizing cooperation in the future.

16 The way I think about this is there was a fork in the  
17 road in effect at the beginning of the case where Mr. Singh  
18 could have chosen significant minimization but he chose  
19 substantial assistance. And that was critical to the  
20 government's case. And I think as the Court considers the  
21 message that's sent in such a significant financial fraud such  
22 as this one, in the same way that it considers general  
23 deterrence, it should think about the message that can be sent  
24 in sentencing a cooperating witness who came forward, who could  
25 have fought the charges, who could have fought the involvement

OauWsinS

1 in the conduct, but instead chose immediate cooperation, and  
2 think about how that could incentivize cooperation in the  
3 future. And here, I think leniency and recognition of  
4 Mr. Singh's cooperation would send such an important message.

5 You know, one other comment I want to make before I  
6 sit down. This has to do with the time I spent and the rest of  
7 the team spent with Mr. Singh in interviews and preps between  
8 FTX's collapse and Mr. Bankman-Fried's trial. And I'll say  
9 that throughout that period, Mr. Singh expressed extreme  
10 remorse, great remorse, for not just how things had ended but  
11 how things had taken place. And it was something that he  
12 clearly was really grappling with that came through and, I  
13 think, set him apart, the emotional aspect to it, that I found  
14 genuine, full-throated and weighty. I share this because in  
15 case it wasn't clear from our submission, it clearly has really  
16 weighed on him, and I believe that has been a motivating factor  
17 in his cooperation. Of course, people think about leniency  
18 that can come from cooperation. But for Mr. Singh, it was also  
19 clear that he wanted to right a wrong or at least start to make  
20 that effort and do the right thing. And that's why he came in  
21 the door just shortly after FTX's collapse.

22 Thank you.

23 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Roos.

24 Mr. Singh, please stand for the imposition of  
25 sentence.

OauWsinS

1           I'll start by reiterating the fact that this may have  
2 been the greatest financial fraud in American history, and it's  
3 a very, very serious crime. But I'm entirely persuaded from  
4 your testimony at trial and what I've learned from the advocacy  
5 of both Mr. Goldstein and his colleague and the government that  
6 your involvement was much more limited than certainly  
7 Bankman-Fried and Ellison; that it came relatively late in the  
8 day; that you were a young man in a situation with a somewhat  
9 older person, Bankman-Fried, who was charismatic, demanding,  
10 deceitful, and with whom you had a long relationship,  
11 particularly with his brother, but with his family and with  
12 him. And I recognize how hard it would have been for anybody  
13 coming to the realization that you came in September of 2022 to  
14 have even begun to know what you should do.

15           In moments of uncertainty like that, especially  
16 moments in the life of young and inexperienced people, without  
17 exposure to the world that the lawyers in this room have long  
18 been exposed to, I imagine you had no real idea of what to do  
19 except I'll get better. It's not an excuse, but it's something  
20 that I think I understand.

21           And then something like eight weeks later, the crisis  
22 erupted, in public, in full view, and you then realized the  
23 only responsible thing to do, which is what you did. You  
24 didn't try to figure out what was the spectrum of options and  
25 which ones best served your personal self-interest. You knew

OauWsinS

1 that it was wrong. You had known it was wrong, and you tried  
2 to help.

3 Now, I'm not foolish enough to think that there was no  
4 self-interest involved. Obviously there must have been, but  
5 you did the right thing. You very quickly, within days of the  
6 bankruptcy, told the truth, and what's even more telling is the  
7 extent to which you immediately and truthfully, as far as I can  
8 see, fully unburdened yourself to the government about the  
9 wrongdoing of which you were aware and they quite clearly were  
10 not and that were not really implied by what had come to the  
11 public attention at the time of the bankruptcy filing and right  
12 around then. So that kind of underscores for me that there was  
13 not only self-interest but a serious moral element to what you  
14 did, and you are entitled to a lot of credit for that.

15 Your cooperation in this case has been remarkable.  
16 You're not the only one who has cooperated in a remarkable way,  
17 but you certainly are a very important cooperator who has  
18 contributed to what, to me, seems an appropriate result all  
19 around. And I think the point that's been made, both by your  
20 counsel and by Mr. Roos, is that as important as setting  
21 examples of defendants who have been culpable in great  
22 wrongdoing by imposing punishments that get people's attention,  
23 it is equally important to take due account of the fact that  
24 you can go too far in doing that, by which I mean being so  
25 harsh, so taken with the enormity of the offense, that you

OauWsinS

1 impose a sentence that discourages others from doing what you  
2 did. And I don't intend to do that.

3 Your case is not the case that Ms. Ellison's was. She  
4 was involved from the beginning. She knew for years what was  
5 going on. She got plenty of credit for cooperation, but you  
6 deserve more.

7 For that reason and others, it is the judgment of this  
8 Court that you be committed to the custody of the attorney  
9 general of the United States, or his designee, for a term of  
10 imprisonment of time served on each count, the terms to be  
11 served concurrently; that you thereafter serve a term of  
12 supervised release of three years; and that you pay the  
13 mandatory special assessment of \$600.

14 It is further adjudged that you shall forfeit to the  
15 United States the sum of \$11,20,000,000 jointly and severally  
16 with the codefendants, as the term "codefendants" is defined in  
17 the amended consent preliminary order of forfeiture, as to  
18 specific property money judgment that I've signed already and  
19 will refer to in my further remarks as the preliminary order,  
20 as well as all of the specific property, as that term is  
21 defined in the preliminary order or as more specifically  
22 provided in the preliminary order.

23 The Court declines to order restitution based on my  
24 finding that determining complex issues of fact related to the  
25 cause and amount of the victims' losses would complicate and

OauWsinS

1 prolong the sentencing process. I instead grant the  
2 government's motion to authorize the United States to  
3 compensate victims with finally forfeited assets through a  
4 remission process, as restitution would be impractical in this  
5 case.

6 The term of supervised release shall be subject to the  
7 mandatory, the standard and the special conditions of  
8 supervision set forth at pages 29 to 42 of the presentence  
9 report, which you have told me you have read. To the extent  
10 that the special conditions are not imposed for entirely  
11 obvious reasons, they are imposed for the reasons summarized in  
12 the presentence report.

13 Does either counsel wish to have me read out the  
14 conditions on to the record?

15 MR. GOLDSTEIN: No, your Honor.

16 MR. ROOS: No, your Honor.

17 THE COURT: OK. Thank you.

18 I advise you that to whatever extent you haven't  
19 previously waived it, you have the right to appeal from the  
20 judgment imposing this sentence. If you wish to appeal, you  
21 must file a written notice of appeal with the clerk of the  
22 district court no later than 14 days after the date on which  
23 judgment is entered on the docket, which is likely to be, I  
24 think, tomorrow. In the event you wish to appeal and you can't  
25 afford to pay the fees necessary to do so, you may apply for

OauWsinS

1 permission to appeal as a poor person. If that application  
2 were granted, you would be permitted to appeal without payment  
3 of the fees, and if you couldn't afford a lawyer, a lawyer  
4 would be appointed for you at government expense.

5 You may be seated.

6 I have two other things to say.

7 The first is to express appreciation to counsel on  
8 both sides for very illuminating and very helpful sentencing  
9 submissions, however long they were.

10 And I would like to say to Mr. Singh's parents -- and  
11 this is purely personal; this is not a judicial judgment of any  
12 kind -- I don't see anything you did wrong.

13 OK. Thanks, folks.

14 (Adjourned)

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25